

Texas State Soil and Water Conservation Board EPA Multipurpose Grant FY 2020 Workplan MPG-01

	SUM	MARY PAGE							
Title of Project	Pond Creek Watershed Me	Pond Creek Watershed Monitoring and Assessment							
Project Goals	Supplement existing v	vater data through ta	argeted sample coll	ection					
	 Evaluate existing water 	er quality trends							
Project Tasks	(1) Project Administration	; (2) Quality Assur	rance; (3) Summari	ze Existi	ng Data; and (4)				
	Supplemental Monitoring								
Measures of Success	 Collection and analysi 	•							
	 Collection and analysi 								
Project Type	Implementation (); Educa								
Status of Waterbody on	Segment ID	Parameter of Imp	airment or Concern	<u>1</u> <u>C</u>	<u>ategory</u>				
2014 Texas Integrated		_							
Report	1242F	Bacte	eria	5t)				
Project Location (Statewide or Watershed	Perennial stream from the			Milam C	ounty up to the				
and County)	confluence with Live Oak	Creek in Falls Cou	inty.						
Key Project Activities	Hire Staff (); Surface Wat	ter Quality Monitor	ring (X); Technical	Assistar	nce ();				
	Education (); Implementat								
	Demonstration (); Plannin	ng(); Modeling();	Bacterial Source T	racking	(); Other ()				
2017 Texas NPS	 Component 1: LTG 1 								
Management Program	• Component 1: STG 1	A, 1B							
Reference	• Component 3, 7								
Project Costs	Federal \$101,772	Non-Federal	\$0	Total	\$101,772				
Project Management	 Texas A&M AgriLife 	Research, Texas V	Water Resources In	stitute					
Project Period	January 1, 2020 – Decemb	per 31, 2022							

Part I – Applicant Information

Applicant	
Project Lead	T. Allen Berthold, Ph.D.
Title	Senior Research Scientist
Organization	Texas A&M AgriLife Research, Texas Water Resources Institute
E-mail Address	taberthold@ag.tamu.edu
Street Address	578 John Kimbrough Blvd., 2260 TAMU
City College	Station County Brazos State TX Zip Code 77843
Telephone Number	979-845-2028 Fax Number 979-845-0662

Project Partners	
Names	Roles & Responsibilities
Texas State Soil and Water Conservation	Provide state oversight and management of all project activities and
Board (TSSWCB)	ensure coordination of activities with related projects and TCEQ.

Texas A&M AgriLife Research, Texas	Provide project oversight and reporting, QA/QC, conduct water sample
Water Resources Institute (TWRI)	collection and analysis.

Part II – Project Information

Project Type									
Surface Water	X	Groundwater							
TMDL; (c) an app developed under C	Does the project implement recommendations made in: (a) a completed WPP; (b) an adopted TMDL; (c) an approved I-Plan; (d) a Comprehensive Conservation and Management Plan developed under CWA §320; (e) the <i>Texas Coastal NPS Pollution Control Program</i> ; or (f) the <i>Texas Groundwater Protection Strategy</i> ?								X
If yes, identify the	docume	ent. N/A							
If yes, identify the developed and/or			N/A		Year Deve	eloped	N/	'A	

Watershed Information				
Watershed or Aquifer Name(s)	Hydrologic Unit Code (12 Digit)	Segment ID	Category on 2014 IR	Size (Acres)
Pond Creek	1207010104010405	1242F	5b	146,758

Water Quality Impairment

Describe all known causes (i.e., pollutants of concern) and sources (e.g., agricultural, silvicultural) of water quality impairments or concerns from any of the following sources: 2016 Texas Integrated Report, Clean Rivers Program Basin Summary/Highlights Reports, or other documented sources.

Impairments (2016 Integrated Report)

Segment 1242F: Pond Creek: Perennial stream from the confluence with the Brazos River in Milam County upstream to the headwaters 0.18 km north of F 935 in Bell County

Assessment Unit	<u>Impairment</u>	<u>Category</u>	Year Listed
1242F_01	bacteria	5b	2010

Potential Sources: Unknown

Project Narrative

Problem/Need Statement

Water quality in Pond Creek currently exceeds recreational use standards and, as a result, a Recreational Use Attainability Analysis was conducted in 2012. The report shows that primary contact recreation occurs "frequently" on the waterbody (Tables 4 & 7: https://www.tceq.texas.gov/assets/public/waterquality/standards/ruaa/brazos5/Brazos5Report.pdf) indicating that standards will not change.

Efforts to address this impairment are needed and can include additional water quality monitoring data collection or watershed based plan development. No water quality data was collected on this water body between 2008 and 2015 and only resumed in 2016 at a single location; however, sufficient data to fully assess the bacteria impairment will not be available until the 2024 Texas Integrated Report is developed. If the impaired status is confirmed with recently collected E. coli concentrations, remedial action through development of a watershed based plan will be necessary. However, flow volume is not currently recorded on this stream making load duration curve (LDC) development more difficult. LDCs are a cornerstone of watershed-based plans in this type of watershed and having actual flow data to base them on is paramount. Additionally, expanded data collection will allow for more accurate assessment of waterbody conditions and aid in identifying potential causes and sources of pollution. It is through monitoring and adequate data that watershed managers will be able to get a true assessment of water quality and water quality inhibitors. To fully understand and appreciate the scope of the impairment, it is imperative that monthly sampling occurs at more than one location within the watershed.

Project Narrative

General Project Description (Include Project Location Map)

Pond Creek Watershed | Compared Assessment Unit | Impaired Assessment Uni

Through this project, supplemental water quality monitoring will be conducted with a focus on collecting paired flow rate and *E. coli* concentration data. Data will be collected at up to two sites monthly including TCEQ monitoring station 16406 and a yet-to-be-determined location upstream. Monthly sampling will allow data gaps to be filled and will improve watershed analysis.

Additionally, existing water quality data collected through the Texas Clean Rivers Program will be retrieved and summarized in conjunction with data generated through this project. Existing water quality findings and trends will be discussed. Such data is crucial in understanding bacterial loads throughout the watershed, and can be used in future LDC development and loading reduction estimates.

Tasks, Object	tives and Schedules			rage 3 or 9						
Task 1	Project Administration									
Costs	Federal \$15,265	Non-Federal	\$0 To	otal \$15,265						
Objective	To effectively administer,	coordinate, and monitor a	ll work performed under tl	nis project including						
	technical and financial su	pervision, and preparation	of status reports.							
Subtask 1.1		onic quarterly progress rep								
		es performed within a quar		by the 1 st of January,						
	April, July and October. (QPRs shall be distributed to	all Project Partners.							
	Start Date	Month 1	Completion Date	Month 36						
Subtask 1.2		nting functions for project	funds and will submit app	ropriate Reimbursement						
	Forms to TSSWCB at lea	st quarterly.								
	Start Date	Month 1	Completion Date	Month 36						
Subtask 1.3		ion meetings or conference								
	1 3	project schedule, communi	The state of the s							
	_	of action items needed follo	owing each project coordin	ation meeting and						
	distribute to project perso									
	Start Date	Month 1	Completion Date	Month 36						
Subtask 1.4		al Report that summarizes								
	1 0	the extent to which project	1							
	Start Date	Month 1	Completion Date	Month 36						
Deliverables	QPRs in electronic for									
	Reimbursement Form	ns and necessary documen	tation in hard copy format							
	Final Report in electrical	ronic and hard copy format	ts							

Tasks, Object	ctives and Schedules											
Task 2	Quality Assurance	Quality Assurance										
Costs	Federal \$4,0	71	Non-Federal	\$0	Total	\$4,071						
Objective		To develop data quality objectives (DQOs) and quality assurance/control (QA/QC) activities to ensure data of known and acceptable quality are generated through this project.										
Subtask 2.1	EPA Requirements for Quality Management consistent with the gu Volume 1: Physical an Volume 2: Methods fo [Consistency with Titl Laboratory Accreditate National Environment where applicable.]	TWRI will develop a QAPP for activities in Tasks 3 and 4 consistent with the most recent versions of EPA Requirements for Quality Assurance Project Plans (QA/R-5) and the TSSWCB Environmental Data Quality Management Plan. All monitoring procedures and methods prescribed in the QAPP shall be consistent with the guidelines detailed in the TCEQ Surface Water Quality Monitoring Procedures, Volume 1: Physical and Chemical Monitoring Methods for Water, Sediment, and Tissue (RG-415) and Volume 2: Methods for Collecting and Analyzing Biological Assemblage and Habitat Data (RG-416). [Consistency with Title 30, Chapter 25 of the Texas Administrative Code, Environmental Testing Laboratory Accreditation and Certification, which describes Texas' approach to implementing the National Environmental Laboratory Accreditation Conference (NELAC) standards, shall be required										
	Start Date		Month 1	Completion 1	Date	Month 6						
Subtask 2.2	TWRI will implement the QAPP as needed.	the approve	d QAPP. TWRI v	vill submit revision	ns and necessa	ary amendments to						
	Start Date		Month 1	Completion 1	Date	Month 6						
Deliverables	- ^ ^	•		electronic and ha	rd copy forma	its						
	Approved revision		-									
	Data of known as	nd acceptable	e quality as report	ed through Tasks	3 and 4							

Tasks, Objectives and Schedules										
Task 3	Summary of Existing Da	Summary of Existing Data								
Costs	Federal \$16,284	Non-Federal	\$0	Total	\$16,284					
Objective	Collect and summarize ex	Collect and summarize existing water quality data within the watershed								
Subtask 3.1	TWRI will gather existing surface water quality data and information pertaining to surface water quality									
	within the watershed									
	Start Date	Month 1	Completion I	Date	Month 12					
Subtask 3.2	TWRI will inventory and s	summarize the data to be u	sed in the final proje	ect report.						
	Start Date	Month 1	Completion I	Date	Month 12					
Deliverables	Inventory of available surface water quality data.									

Tasks, Object	tives and Schedules										
Task 4	Supplemental Monitori	Supplemental Monitoring for Watershed Characterization									
Costs	Federal \$66,1	Federal \$66,152 \$65,014 Non-Federal esteral \$4. Total \$66,152 \$65,014 S									
Objective	To collect surface water quality and flow data										
Subtask 5.1	Site Selection – TWRI will conduct sampling site reconnaissance to determine the suitability of sample										
	collection that will best						·				
	needing TCEQ station i	numbers wil	l be submitted for	or a Station Loc	ation reques	t (SLOC	request).				
	Start Date		Month 1	Completio	on Date		Month 3				
Subtask 5.2	Water Quality Monitori	ng – Upon	QAPP approval,	TWRI will con-	duct monthl	y ambier	nt water quality				
	monitoring. Sampling v	vill include	basic field paran	neters (temperat	ure, pH, DC), conduc	ctivity, and flow				
	where conditions allow	and grab s	ample collection	. Water samples	s will be del	ivered to	a NELAP				
	accredited laboratory w	ithin the ap	propriate holding	time for bacter	rial analysis						
	Start Date		Month 3	Completio	on Date		Month 32				
Subtask 5.3	Water Quality Data Sub	mission – 7	TWRI, will main	tain a master da	tabase of co	llected v	water quality				
	data. Data will be subm	itted to TSS	SWCB by TWRI	for submission	to SWQMI	S on a qu	arterly basis.				
	Start Date		Month 1	Completic	on Date		Month 36				
Deliverables	Site selection and S	LOC reque	sts (if needed)								
	Documentation of s	sampling ev	ents in QPRs								
	SWQMIS data sub		-	eview Checklist	s)						

Project Goals (Expand from Summary Page)

TWRI will acquire and summarize existing surface water quality data from the watershed. Existing data will be supplemented through monthly water quality monitoring at sites identified through from site recon and the QAPP. New data will be submitted to SWQMIS. Existing and new data will be summarized and analyzed in the project final report to evaluate water quality trends.

Measures of Success (Expand from Summary Page)

This project will be considered successful upon collection of 2 years' worth of monthly ambient water quality data and assessment of this newly generated data combined with existing data.

2017 Texas NPS Management Program Reference (Expand from Summary Page)

Components, Goals, and Objectives

Component 1: Explicit short- and long-term goals, objectives ... that protect surface and groundwater.

- LTG 1: Focus NPS abatement efforts, implementation strategies, and available resources in watersheds identified as impacted by nonpoint source pollution
- o LTG 2: Support the implementation of state, regional and local programs to prevent NPS pollution through assessment, implementation and education
- STG 1: Data Collection and Assessment: coordinate with appropriate federal, state, regional, and local entities....
 Where additional information may be needed
 - Objective A: Identify surface water bodies ... that need additional information to characterize non-attainment of designated uses and water quality standards
 - Objective B: ensure that monitoring procedures meet quality assurance requirementsor TSSWCB Quality Management Plans

Component 3: Combination of statewide nonpoint source programs and on-the-ground projects achieve water quality benefits; efforts are well-integrated with other relevant state and federal programs.

Component 7: Manage and implement the NPS program efficiently and effectively, including necessary financial management.

Estimated Load Reductions Expected (Only applicable to Implementation Project Type)

Loading reductions are not anticipated to result from this project.

EPA State Categorical Program Grants – Workplan Essential Elements

FY 2018-2022 EPA Strategic Plan Reference

Strategic Plan Goal - Goal 1 Core Mission

Strategic Plan Objective – Objective 1.2 Provide for Clean and Safe Water

Part III – Financial Information

Budget Summary	7								
Federal	\$	101,	772		% of total pro	ject	100%		
Non-Federal	\$		0	(% of total pro	ject		0%	
Total	\$	101,	772		Total			100%	
Category			Federal		No	on-Federal		Total	
Personnel		\$	65,36	59	\$	0	\$	65,369	
Fringe Benefits		\$	19,463		\$	0	\$	19,463	
Travel		\$	1,392		\$	0	\$	1,392	
Equipment		\$		0	\$	0	\$	0	
Supplies		\$	15	56	\$	0	\$	156	
Contractual		\$		0	\$	0	\$	0	
Construction		\$	\$ 0		\$	0	\$	0	
Other		\$	2,1	18	\$	0	\$	2,118	
Total Direct Costs		\$	88,49	98	\$	0	\$	88,498	
Indirect Costs (≤ 15%)		\$	13,2	13,274		0	\$	13,274	
Total Project Cost	S	\$	101,77	72	\$	0	\$	101,772	

Budget Justification (Federal)			
Category	Total Amount		Justification
Personnel	\$	65,369	Research Associate: \$50,692 annually @ 6 months (\$26,897) Research Assistant: \$45,000 annually @ 2.23 months (\$8,624) Program Manager: \$59,064 annually @ 1.5 months (\$7,595) Graduate Student: \$54,000 annually @ (50% max per year as grad students are only part-time) @ 4.8 months (\$22,253) *named positions are budgeted with a 3% annual pay increase in all years; TBD positions are budgeted with a 3% pay increase in years after year 1 *Salary estimates are based on average monthly percent effort for the entire contract. Actual percent effort may vary more or less than estimated between months; but in aggregate, will not exceed total effort estimates for the entire project.
Fringe Benefits	\$	19,463	Fringe for faculty and staff is calculated at 18.2% salary plus \$746 per month. Fringe for students is calculated at 10.7% salary plus \$412 per month. *Fringe benefits estimates are based on salary estimates listed. Actual fringe benefits will vary between months coinciding with percent effort variations; but in aggregate, will not exceed the overall estimated total.
Travel	\$	1,392	Mileage for water quality monitoring estimated at 24 trips, 116 miles round trip per trip @ \$0.50 per mile for state vehicles
Equipment	\$	0	N/A
Supplies	\$	156	Supplies including, but not limited to, sampling supplies and consumables; general office supplies
Contractual*	\$	0	N/A
Construction	\$	0	N/A
Other	\$	2,118	Lab analysis for samples for 2 sites at 24 sampling events at \$41 per sample (\$1,968) Software Licenses (\$150)
Indirect	\$	13,274	Texas A&M AgriLife Research's federally-negotiated indirect cost rate (IDC) is 51.5% of modified total direct costs (MTDC). Per the limitations of this RFP, indirect costs are limited at 15% total direct costs. \$88,498 * 0.15